College of Psychology Faculty Research Fellowship Review Rubric

Project Title:

Reviewed by:

		Pts.	Score
Scientific Merit	Background and Significance: The work is proposed in the context of the existing research and current state of the field, and is innovative in advancing the field.	20	
	<u>Aims:</u> The scientific merit of the aims. The clarity of the stated the goals and objectives of the research.	10	
	Methodology and Design: The research design is clear and appropriate to the project's aims. The proposed methods and data analysis are scientifically sound.	25	
Feasibility	<u>Timeline:</u> The milestones and objectives noted in the proposed timeline are appropriate and achievable.	5	
	<u>Budget & Release:</u> Itemized costs are reasonable and necessary to the proposed research (if applicable). The requested release time is reasonable and necessary to project's aims and objectives.	5	
Proposed Outcomes	<u>Publication Plan:</u> The proposal includes a reasonable plan for publication of work resulting from the proposed research.*	5	
	Internal Funding Plan: The proposal includes a reasonable plan for submitting to NSU's PFRDG program during at least one of the funded years.**	Yes/No/NA	
	External Funding Plan: The PI has identified potential sources of external funding for the proposed research and has provided a reasonable assessment of good fit between the identified funding opportunities and the proposed research.	5	
	Quality of Writing: The writing demonstrated in the proposal is of high quality, as would be necessary for successful external funding applications.	5	
	Qualifications: The PI has a history of, or potential for, success in the proposed area of research, and is clearly in the position to apply for external funding upon the completion of the proposed project. Include the Co-I qualifications in the evaluation if applicable.	20	
	Total Points	100	0

^{*}Full credit will be awarded for proposals with sufficient publications in the proposed area of research; **A "yes" is required for funding consideration if data collection is proposed and the project has not received PFRDG funding.

Reviewer Comments								