Student's Name:	Ph.D. or Psy.D. (circle one)
Examiner's Name:	Date of Evaluation:

# **COMPETENCY DOMAIN 1:** Assessment and Diagnosis

Reason for Referral & Presenting Problem	Vocational and Military Hx	Past Suicidal or Self-Inj Bx
Hx of Presenting Problem	Legal Hx (inc. DCF Involvement)	
Childhood History	Medical Hx and Medications	Religious/Spiritual Issues
Educational History	Psy Hx and Medications	Cultural/Diversity Issues
Family and Social History	Family Psy and Medical Hx	Strengths and Protective Factors

	Competency Demonstrated		Competency Emergi	ing (but below MLA)	Competency Not	Demonstrated	
	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Intake, History and	The BPS report provides a <b>detailed history</b>		The BPS report provides a <b>detailed history</b> The document provides some useful		The document is lacking key information		(0 to 5)
Current Functioning	of presenting problem, background		information but lacl		about the history of pr		
	information, description of current		history of presenti	ng problem,	background informat	ion, description of	
Student provides	functioning and/or risk assessment.		background information, description		current functioning, and/or risk		
information sufficient for	Comprehensive covera	age of all relevant	of current function		<b>'isk</b> assessment. The information provided is		
diagnosis, case	background/history (se	ee above).	assessment. The in	formation provided	incorrect, inconsistent	and/or does not	
conceptualization and			is not sufficiently d	etailed to inform the	inform the development	nt of diagnostic	
treatment planning.			development of acc	urate diagnostic	impressions, clinical fo	ormulations, and/or	
			impressions, clinica	l formulations,	treatment recommenda	tions.	
			and/or treatment rec	commendations OR			
			the report lacks one	or more key areas.			

	Competency Demonstrated	Competency Emerging (but below MLA)	Not Demo		
3		2	1 0		Score
Mental Status	MSE findings are generally summarized	MSE findings are present but	MSE is omitted or wholly inadequate.		(0 to 3)
Examination	fully and accurately within the BPS report, including all components of mental status	incomplete or inaccurate. Some important areas of assessment are	Many important areas incomplete or missing		
Student gathers information needed to quickly assess client functioning	examination (and a suicide assessment). The mental status and behavioral observations inform diagnostic impressions and treatment formulations.	incomplete or missing and/or findings in some areas are inaccurately or incompletely summarized in the document. MSE and behavioral observations offer little toward diagnostic impressions and/or treatment formulations.	across many areas are incompletely summariz	5	

	Competency Demonstrated	Competency Emerging (but below MLA)	Not Demo:	nstrated	]
	3	2	1	0	Score
Psychological Testing Personality Test or Broad/Narrow-Band Mental Health Measure or Behavior Checklist Reminder: Students' alternate assessment plans can be used to score this domain, if provided.	The measures selected <i>within this domain</i> are appropriate given the presenting problem(s). No obvious errors in administration or scoring are evident OR few minor errors in administration or scoring are evident, but do not compromise the validity of findings. Interpretation of results is complete and accurate, and document demonstrates effective integration of testing data to yield a complete and accurate portrait of the client.	The measures selected <i>within this</i> <i>domain</i> are appropriate given the presenting problem(s), but perhaps incomplete. Several errors in administration and scoring are evident. Interpretation of results is accurate, but perhaps incomplete OR interpretation only superficially integrates testing data and so the portrait of the client is incomplete.	The measures selected were inappropriate give problem(s). Major erro or scoring were eviden compromise the validit Interpretation of results painting an inaccurate of portrait of the client.	en the presenting rs in administration t that significantly y of the findings. s is unsound,	SCORE ONLY <u>ONE</u> (0 to 3)
Psychological Testing Projective Test Reminder: Students' alternate assessment plans can be used to score this domain, if provided.	The measures selected <i>within this domain</i> are appropriate given the presenting problem(s). No obvious errors in administration or scoring are evident OR Few minor errors in administration or scoring might be evident, but these do not significantly compromise the validity of the findings. Interpretation of results is complete and accurate, and document demonstrates effective integration of testing data to yield a complete and accurate portrait of the client.	The measures selected <i>within this</i> <i>domain</i> are appropriate given the presenting problem(s), but perhaps incomplete. Interpretation of results is accurate, but perhaps incomplete OR interpretation only superficially integrates testing data and so the portrait of the client is incomplete.	The measures selected were inappropriate give problem(s). Major erro or scoring were eviden compromise the validit Interpretation of results painting an inaccurate of portrait of the client.	en the presenting rs in administration t that significantly y of the findings. s is unsound,	SCORE ONLY <u>ONE</u> (0 to 3)
<b>Psychological Testing</b> Functional Analysis of Behavior	Clear statement of function, paired with a clear, well-formulated statement of the problem behavior (operational definition of the problem target). The resulting analysis yields some very targeted recommendations that allow the intervention's impact to be maximized or more efficient (i.e., analysis stressed providing a child with alternative, more socially acceptable means of escape/avoidance of aversive activities).	Some reference was made to the function of behavior, but little effort was made to connect the function to any meaningful recommendations for intervention, so the value of the behavioral analysis was minimal.	There is no mention of behavior (i.e., attention activities/tangibles or s behaviors of interest [i. behavior(s)] were too p yield an effective behav	e, escape, access to ensory) and/or the e., target poorly defined to	SCORE ONLY <u>ONE</u> (0 to 3)

	Competency Demonstrated	Competency Emerging (but below MLA)	Not Demo:	nstrated	
	3	2	1	0	Score
Monitoring of Treatment Progress This item addresses the question "how will you know that your client is getting better?" Student demonstrates the ability to track treatment progress and outcome using measures appropriate to the treatment goals and/or clinical observation. Reminder: Students' alternate assessment plans can be used to score this domain, if provided.	The document contains meaningful and accurate information concerning how the client is or is not benefiting from treatment. Data are obtained for either change in client behavior (i.e., presenting problems or symptoms) or change in attitudes or perceptions (if those are treatment targets). Data should be collected at least twice (pre- and post- treatment) but preferably more frequently during treatment. Improvements in client functioning based on client report and/or clinical observation can also be used. Visual representation of progress (i.e., graphs) is preferred but not essential to meet this competency.	The document contains information concerning how the client is or is not benefiting from treatment (see "competency demonstrated" description), but those data are inadequate for evaluating progress, either because the data are not consistent with treatment goals or because the data were not collected frequently enough or were of poor quality (unreliable/invalid). Note: Idiographic measures <b>can be valid</b> if the client is trained in data collection methods that provide important data on outcome- i.e., sleep logs, etc.)	The document contains li concerning how the client from treatment (see "com demonstrated" description student is unable to identi measures or methods that information about the clie the therapeutic goals.	t is or is not benefiting petency n). When asked, the fy any possible might provide	(0 to 3)

	Competency Demonstrated		Competency Demonstrated Competency Emerging (but below MLA)		ing (but below MLA)	Competency Not Demonstrated		
	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score	
<b>DSM-5 Diagnosis</b> Student provides accurate diagnos(es), including appropriate justification for diagnoses selected; relevant specifiers are included.	Student accurately sumn reasonable diagnoses and relevant comorbidities an Note: This item allows fr experienced clinicians w their views of the most a When rating this item, p student's justification for well as knowledge of dia	nd specifiers. or the fact that even ill sometimes differ in ppropriate diagnosis. lease consider the r diagnoses assigned as	Student inaccurately s symptoms or provides diagnosis, but one wit diagnoses that does no treatment planning. O one reasonable diagno likely co-morbid diag	s an inaccurate thin the same class of ot significantly impact R student provides osis but overlooks a	Multiple required element reasonable diagnosis may several errors were made omissions) in describing f diagnosis is questionable rationale do not lead logic diagnosis.	be missing AND/OR (i.e., inaccuracies or indings. Rationale for or the summary and	(0 to 5)	

	Competency Demonstrated	Competency Emerging (but below MLA)	Not Demonstrated
--	-------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------

	3	2	1	0	Score
<b>Differential Diagnosis</b> Student identified appropriate alternative diagnoses and provided rationale for ultimately ruling them out.	Student considered appropriate alternative diagnoses. The rationale for considering these diagnoses and the justification for ruling them out were clear.	Student considered appropriate alternative diagnoses, but rationale for consideration or justification for ruling them out were inaccurate or unclear.	Student did not identify appropriate differential diagnoses for the case or inappropriately ruled out a diagnosis that should have been assigned.		(0 to 3)
(Written) Justification for Diagnoses Student provides appropriate justification for diagnoses selected by linking symptoms to DSM-5 criteria using DSM-5 language.	The document provides complete and accurate justification for diagnoses, including a complete description of symptoms, frequency/duration, statement re: distress/impairment, and any other criteria laid out in DSM-5 for making the diagnoses. Any relevant specifiers are included and the justification for each is clear. Any errors or omissions are minor and do not significantly compromise the student_s <sup>2</sup> justification for assigned diagnoses.	The summary of findings in the document is missing required elements for a correct diagnosis (e.g., course, statement of impairment/distress, etc.) and may contain minor errors (i.e., inaccuracies or omissions), such that rationale for assigned diagnoses is unclear. Relevant specifiers and/or their justification are omitted.	Multiple required elemen accurate diagnosis may b several errors were made omissions) in describing : diagnosis is questionable rationale do not lead logic diagnosis.	e missing AND/OR (i.e., inaccuracies or findings. Rationale for or the summary and	(0 to 3)
NOTE: Raters can score this domain based on whether diagnoses and their justification were clear from the written document alone.	Student uses DSM-5 language appropriately and consistently in describing symptoms and diagnoses. NOTE: The requirements above must be met for each assigned diagnosis.	Student uses DSM-5 language inconsistently; symptom descriptions are not clearly tied to DSM-5 criteria.	Student rarely uses DSM between reported sympto are missing or unclear, le question the diagnosis.	ms and DSM criteria	
	ASSESSMENT AND DIAGNOSIS	SUBSCORE (POSSIBLE POINT AINMENT = 17.5 OR HIGHER]	,		
NOTE: Scores within	competency domains will not be used to			data to evaluate	
	ADA Solf Study and to provide students w	1 0	0		

competencies for the APA Self Study and to provide students with feedback on their performance in relevant competency domains.

## **COMPETENCY DOMAIN 2:** Intervention (formerly Case Conceptualization and Treatment Formulation)

Competency	Demonstrated	Competency Emerg	Competency Emerging (but below MLA)		onstrated		
5 4		3 2		1 0		Score	
accepted theoretical approach and/or a set of empirically validated procedures or systematically		approach or integration approaches with adeq	on of two or more such uate depth of	depth of understanding an more such approaches ina	nd/or integrates two or appropriately or in a	(0 to 5)	
issues that are presented (i.e., suicidal behavior,		of client and therapist goals and a thorough assessment of all the most clinically relevant issues that are presented (i.e., suicidal behavior, history of sexual abuse, substance abuse, severe		The approach ignores the client's most important goals and needs and fails to address the most clinically relevant issues, while focusing on superficial problems.		(0 to 5)	
The conceptualization incorporates the client's unique history, current problems and personality style and applies the theoretical model and/or the empirically validated approach to the actual data of the client's life in a highly individualized		unique history, current problems and personality style and applies the theoretical model and/or the empirically validated approach to the actual data of the client's life in a highly individualizedimportant data of the client's life but fails to account for much of the relevant history or life situation, appealing to theoretical assumptions from the model without				(0 to 5)	
Student is able to articulate one alternative, appropriate and distinct theoretical approach or other empirically validated procedure(s) of their choosing to the case with a sound depth of understanding and appropriateness to the client's		appropriate and distinct theoretical approach or other empirically validated procedure(s) of their choosing to the case with a sound depth of understanding and appropriateness to the client's				(0 to 5)	
Student is able to clearly and limitations of the int	ervention model chosen	limitations of the mod many contraindication	lel, but unaware of ns or has no insight	limitations or indications	of both their	(0 to 5)	
	5 Student is able to articula accepted theoretical appr empirically validated pro- integrate two or more su evidence of significant d these approaches. The approach is based or of client and therapist ge assessment of all the mo- issues that are presented history of sexual abuse, s psychopathology). The conceptualization in unique history, current p style and applies the theoretically of the client's life in a hi- manner. Student is able to articula appropriate and distinct to other empirically validated choosing to the case with understanding and appro- situation. Student is able to clearly and limitations of the int for the case as well as th	Student is able to articulate a professionally accepted theoretical approach and/or a set of empirically validated procedures or systematically integrate two or more such approaches with evidence of significant depth of understanding of these approaches. The approach is based on a careful consideration of client and therapist goals and a thorough assessment of all the most clinically relevant issues that are presented (i.e., suicidal behavior, history of sexual abuse, substance abuse, severe psychopathology). The conceptualization incorporates the client's unique history, current problems and personality style and applies the theoretical model and/or the empirically validated approach to the actual data of the client's life in a highly individualized manner. Student is able to articulate one alternative, appropriate and distinct theoretical approach or other empirically validated procedure(s) of their choosing to the case with a sound depth of understanding and appropriateness to the client's situation.	543Student is able to articulate a professionally accepted theoretical approach and/or a set of empirically validated procedures or systematically integrate two or more such approaches with evidence of significant depth of understanding of these approaches.Student is able to desc approaches with adeq understanding of the the approach is based on a careful consideration of client and therapist goals and a thorough assessment of all the most clinically relevant issues that are presented (i.e., suicidal behavior, history of sexual abuse, substance abuse, severe psychopathology).The approach reflects goals and an assessment most obvious issues, subtle issues or those required a more carefThe conceptualization incorporates the client's unique history, current problems and personality style and applies the theoretical model and/or the empirically validated approach to the actual data of the client's life in a highly individualized manner.The formulation incorporates the client's understanding and appropriateness to the client's situation.Student is able to articulate one alternative, appropriate and distinct theoretical approach or other empirically validated procedure(s) of their choosing to the case with a sound depth of understanding and appropriateness to the client's situation.Student has some und limitations of the intervention model chosen for the case as well as the alternate model that the	5432Student is able to articulate a professionally accepted theoretical approach and/or a set of empirically validated procedures or systematically integrate two or more such approaches with evidence of significant depth of understanding of these approaches.Student is able to describe a theoretical approach or integration of two or more such approaches with adequate depth of understanding of the theories.The approach is based on a careful consideration of client and therapist goals and a thorough assessment of all the most clinically relevant issues that are presented (i.e., suicidal behavior, history of sexual abuse, substance abuse, severe psychopathology).The approach substance abuse, severe repsychopathology).The formulation incorporates the client's unique history, current problems and personality style and applies the theoretical model and/or the empirically validated approach to the actual data of the client's life in a highly individualized manner.The formulation incorporates some important data of the client's life but fails to account for much of the relevant history or life situation, appealing to theoretical appropriate and distinct theoretical approach or other empirically validated procedure(s) of their choosing to the case with a sound depth of understanding and appropriateness to the client's situation.Student is able to describe an alternative approach with adequate understanding of the basic principles and some degree of applicability to the case.Student is able to clearly describe the indications and limitations of the intervention model chosen for the case as well as the alternate model that theStudent has some understanding of the limitations of the annotel, but unaware of many contraindications or has no ins	54321Student is able to articulate a professionally accepted theoretical approach and/or a set of empirically validated procedures or systematically integrate two or more such approaches with evidence of significant depth of understanding of these approaches.Student is able to describe a theoretical approaches with adequate depth of 	5 4 3 2 1 0   Student is able to articulate a professionally accepted theoretical approach and/or a set of empirically validated procedures or systematically integrate two or more such approaches with evidence of significant depth of understanding of these approaches. Student is able to describe a theoretical approach or integration of two or more such approaches with adequate depth of understanding of the theories. Presents a theoretical approach reflecting little depth of understanding and/or integrates two or more such approaches inappropriately or in a way that violates the assumptions of those theories.   The approach is based on a careful consideration of client and therapist goals and a thorough assessment of all the most clinically relevant isstory of sexual abuse, substance abuse, severe psychopathology). The approach reflects basic or superficial goals and an assessment that addresses the most obvious issues, while ignoring more subtle issues or those that would have required a more careful evaluation. The approach ignores the client's most important data of the client's life but fails to account for much of the relevant history or life situation, appealing to theoretical assumptions from the model without adequate empirical support. The conceptualization relies mostly on theoretical constructs and offers little in the way of integration of relevant historical, mental status or personality variables that are empirical.   Student is able to articulate one alternative, appropriate and distinct theoretical approach or other empirically validated procedure(s) of their choosing to the case with a sound depth of understanding and appropriateness to the client's situation. Student has some understandin	

	Competency	Demonstrated	Competency Emergi	ng (but below MLA)	Not Dem	nonstrated	]
	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
<b>Fidelity of Intervention</b> This item should be rated across the document, presentation and Theravue responses.	n should be rated ne document, tion and interventions that accurately and consistently reflect the student's conceptualization and adherence to their treatment model(s).		The document and pre- interventions that are of student's conceptualiz interventions are ofter inconsistent with the s conceptualization and	consistent with the ration, but the n unrelated or student's	The document and press no correspondence with model(s) OR it is difficu- Theravue recordings that guided by his/her conce treatment model(s). For recordings might reflect responses, but therapeur absent.	the student's treatment ult to tell from the at the student was eptualization or example, the Theravue t warm, positive	(0 to 5)
	The Theravue recordings representing <i>the treatmen</i> <i>treatment, they used for</i> were, in fact, consistent w	nt model, or alternative the case they presented	The Theravue recordings the student identified as representing <i>the treatment</i> <i>model, or alternative treatment, they used</i> <i>for the case they presented</i> were not entirely consistent with one of these.		The Theravue recordings the student identified as representing <i>the treatment model, or</i> <i>alternative treatment, they used for the case</i> <i>they presented</i> were not at all consistent with one of these.		
Intervention Skills This item is specific to Intervention Skills as demonstrated in the Theravue responses.	Intervention skills (as demonstrated in Theravue responses) were organized and well-executed. If mistakes are present, they are minor, do not fundamentally misrepresent the intervention, and are not expected to negatively impact the client's response to treatment.		Intervention skills (as Theravue responses) r understanding of the i implemented but cont major error (s) or mult Although some core s was not enough to cap approach and/or would impact the client's res	eflected a basic ntervention skills ained one or more tiple smaller errors. kills are present, it oture the spirit of the d possibly negatively	Intervention skills (as demonstrated in Theravue responses) were poorly implemented and contained significant errors or specific intervention strategies were inadequate or missing. The work demonstrated in the recording would likely negatively impact the client's response to treatment.		(0 to 5)
Individualization of Treatment	The treatment plan clearl presented to the specific about the case. Considera case can be seen in almost treatment plan and/or inte implemented. Even in dir tailors manualized conter client's life and looks to a based practices or treatment of the client's presenting within the treatment chost	information provided attion of the individual at all descriptions of the ervention strategies to be ective sessions, student at to situations in the additional evidence- ents when some element problem is not covered	Important aspects of the and incorporated into However, most descrip plan and/or intervention generic and not tailore rigidly following a tre without considering in the case or failing to in elements of the client' simply because it is not treatment chosen).	he case are considered treatment planning. ptions of the treatment on strategies are ed to the case (e.g., atment manual nportant aspects of ncorporate important 's presenting problem	The treatment plan does consider specific case c not address important a	haracteristics and does	(0 to 5)

#### **INTERVENTION (POSSIBLE POINTS: 0 TO 40)**

#### [COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 28 OR HIGHER]

*NOTE:* Scores within competency domains will not be used to make pass vs. fail decisions, but we are collecting these data to evaluate competencies for the APA Self Study and to provide students with feedback on their performance in relevant competency domains.

	Competency	Demonstrated	Competency Emergi	ing (but below MLA)	Not Demo	nstrated	
	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Listening, Understanding and Empathy Student demonstrates listening skills that facilitate rapport building and the therapeutic alliance	The Theravue recordings reflect a solid command of effective listening and communication of understanding and empathy. For example, student uses verbal encouragers, content and feelings reflections, and summaries to communicate an understanding of client's concerns and feelings. There are few, if any, therapist digressions and/or inaccurate summaries.		The Theravue recordings reflect adequate command of effective listening and communication of understanding and empathy. For example, student uses some verbal encouragers, content and feelings reflections, and summaries, but there are several notable missed opportunities to communicate an understanding of client's concerns and feelings. There are several therapist digressions and/or summaries might inaccurately capture client concerns.		The Theravue recordings reflect poor command of effective listening and communication of understanding and empathy. For example, student rarely uses verbal encouragers, content and feelings reflections, and summaries, or there are many notable missed opportunities to communicate an understanding of client's concerns and feelings. There are several therapist digressions and/or summaries often miss the client's expressed concerns.		(0 to 5)
<b>Open-Ended</b> <b>Questioning &amp; Other</b> <b>Facilitating Techniques</b>	The Theravue recordings reflect a solid command of use of open-ended questions and other facilitating techniques, including clarification, confrontation, feedback, and silences in exploring client concerns.		The Theravue recordi adequate command of questions and other fa including clarification feedback, and silences concerns, but the thera strategies infrequently ways resulting in som to enhance client expl	Euse of open-ended cilitating techniques, a, confrontation, s in exploring client apist uses these or in ineffective e missed opportunities	The Theravue recordings of use of open-ended ques facilitating techniques, ind confrontation, feedback, a exploring client concerns. uses these strategies or us resulting in many missed enhance client exploration	stions and other cluding clarification, and silences in . The therapist rarely es them ineffectively opportunities to	(0 to 5)
	In more directive respon clients in their own learn understanding of materia the session appropriately where they are in their lea	l covered, and/or paces by meeting the client	In more directive resp misses opportunities t their own learning, in client's understanding and sometimes misses the session, resulting is between material covo learning.	o engage clients in frequently checks of material covered, client cues in pacing in a mismatch	In more directive response minimally engages client rarely checks client's und covered, and inadequately resulting in a mismatch be covered and client learnin undermine the client's pro-	in their own learning, erstanding of material paces the session, etween material g that is likely to	

#### **COMPETENCY DOMAIN 3:** Communication and Interpersonal Skills (formerly Relationship Skills and Communication)

	Competency Demonstrated		Competency Emergi	ng (but below MLA)	Not D	emonstrated	]
	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Written Communication Student exhibits a command of written communication skills in the CCE document and presentation.	Organization: The written report is clearly written, easy to follow, and organized using headings and subheadings. The paper is generally commensurate with doctoral-level training.		Organization: The document is disorganized or confusing and the information follows a specific structure inconsistently (e.g., headings and subheadings used inconsistently, information presented in wrong area).		Organization: The document is very disorganized and/or incoherent and the information is presented haphazardly with little attention to structure and organization, making it hard to follow or read.		(0 to 5)
	Grammar: Few (if any) grammatical, syntactical, spelling errors and/or typographical errors. This is a polished final draft which was carefully reviewed and proofread.		Grammar: Some grammatical, syntactical, spelling errors and/or typographical errors occasionally noted, but generally easy to read.		Grammar: Significant grammatical, syntactical, spelling errors and/or typographical errors noted throughout the document, making it difficult to read.		
Oral Communication Student exhibits a command of presentation skills during the presentation and of interpersonal communication skills during their oral defense.	Student presented in a confident, poised, and well-organized manner. They were well- prepared for questions and evidenced an ability to understand, consider and appropriately respond to questions during their oral defense.		The student's presentation was somewhat disorganized or unclear (e.g., issues with timing, flow or preparation). They were underprepared for questions and exhibited difficulty understanding, considering and appropriately responding to questions during their oral defense.		The student's presentation was very disorganized and unclear. Issues with timing, flow or preparation were all present. They were unprepared for even basic questions about their case and exhibited difficulty understanding, considering and appropriately responding to questions during their oral defense, even those that assess for basic knowledge in the field.		(0 to 5)
C	OMMUNICATION	AND INTERPER	SONAL SKILLS	6 (POSSIBLE PO	DINTS: 0 TO 20	)	

#### [COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 14 OR HIGHER]

*NOTE:* Scores within competency domains will not be used to make pass vs. fail decisions, but we are collecting these data to evaluate competencies for the APA Self Study and to provide students with feedback on their performance in relevant competency domains.

# **COMPETENCY DOMAIN 4:** Professionalism (This section pulls together items that were formerly in other Competency Areas.)

	Competency Demonstrated		Competency Emergi	ng (but below MLA)	Not Demonstrated		
	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Legal/Ethical Issues	Student carefully considers legal implication of case, including reporting requirements, while maintaining respect for client confidentiality and commitment to high professional standards.		Student adequately protects client confidentiality but ignores more subtle issues pertaining to the professional relationship (e.g., boundary issues) or fails to consider legal implications, including reporting requirements.		Student fails to address important legal responsibilities in case, including overlooking important reporting requirements, and/or fails to protect client confidentiality.		(0 to 5)
Diversity Student clearly identifies diversity issues relevant to the case and describes how these were incorporated into treatment. NOTE: The term "cultural" refers broadly to human diversity issues (e.g., gender, ethnicity, faith, immigration status, LGBTQ, disability, etc.).	case was sophisticated with doctoral-level tra expressions of the disc	ining. The cultural order and its culture- ctive factors were clear	Diversity issues rele were noted but lack understanding of the expressions of the d culture-specific risk factors was lacking issues were not inco treatment.	ed a sophisticated e cultural isorder or its and protective and/or diversity	Very few diversity issu disorder were noted, ar superficial or largely a	nd discussion was	(0 to 5)
Professional values, attitudes, and behaviors: Outcome/Self-Critique: Strengths and Limitations in Students' Clinical Work Student clearly identifies the strengths and limitations of their clinical work and implementation of treatment.	Student clearly describe outcome and understand critique and explain the of the intervention as we errors or oversights.	s and is able to self- basis for success or failure	Student is able to desc but is limited in the ab and explain the basis of the intervention.	oility to self-critique	Student cannot clearly de client outcome and is una explain the basis for the s intervention.	ble to self-critique and	(0 to 5)

#### PROFESSIONALISM (POSSIBLE POINTS: 0 TO 15) [COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 10.5 OR HIGHER]

*NOTE:* Scores within competency domains will not be used to make pass vs. fail decisions, but we are collecting these data to evaluate competencies for the APA Self Study and to provide students with feedback on their performance in relevant competency domains.

COMPETENCY DOMAIN	SCORE
(POSSIBLE SCORE/COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT SCORE)	
Scores within competency domains will not be used to make pass vs. fail decisions, but we are collecting these data to	
evaluate competencies for the APA Self Study and to provide students with feedback on their performance in relevant	
competency domains.	
ASSESSMENT AND DIAGNOSIS	
(0  TO  25;  COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 17.5+)	
INTERVENTION	
(0  TO  40;  COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 28+)	
COMMUNICATION AND INTERPERSONAL SKILLS	
(0  TO  20;  COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 14+)	
PROFESSIONALISM	
(0  TO  15;  COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT = 10.5+)	
TOTAL SCORE	
(0 TO 100: TOTAL SCORE MUST BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 70 IN ORDER TO PASS THE CCE.	

PASS (TOTAL SCORE IS GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 70)

REMEDIATE (TOTAL SCORE IS LESS THAN 70)

Faculty Signature

Date

Please enter your ratings within <u>five days</u>. Thank you!