



Doctoral Program in School Psychology

DIRECTED STUDY GUIDELINES

Rev. August 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	What is Psy.D. Research?	1
	The Directed Study Committee.....	1
	Faculty Research Interests.....	3
II.	DIRECTED STUDY OVERVIEW	5
	Guidelines and Requirements	5
	Types of Directed Studies	5
	Resource Materials	6
III.	RESEARCH ASSISTANTSHIP.....	7
	Area of Special Interest	7
	Seminars.....	7
	Directed Study Projects.....	8
IV.	TIMELINE.....	11
V.	CONDUCTING THE DIRECTED STUDY RESEARCH	13
	Getting Started	13
	Writing the Directed Study	13
	Sections of the Directed Study.....	14
	Format of the Directed Study.....	15
	APA Style Resources	17
	Sample Title Page	18
	Sample Abstract	19
	Sample Directed Study.....	20
	Sample References	21
VI.	COMPLETION OF THE DIRECTED STUDY.....	23
	Literature Review Rubric	23
	Empirical Study Rubric.....	24
	Case Study Rubric.....	26
VII.	SAMPLE FORMS AND PAGES	29
	Directed Study Prospectus	31
	Acknowledgement of Specifications.....	33
	Research Completion Form.....	35

I. Introduction

What is Psy.D. Research?

The Psy.D. program in School Psychology is committed to a practitioner-informed-by-science training model. Candidates are prepared as highly competent problem-solvers who draw upon a strong foundation in core knowledge areas of school psychology to promote the educational and social-emotional competence of children. Moreover, candidates are prepared to offer a full continuum of empirically-supported services, including prevention, assessment, consultation, and intervention.

The Directed Study, the capstone research project within the Psy.D. program, helps to prepare the candidate to be a lifelong consumer, evaluator, and utilizer of research to guide clinical/school practice. It is conducted under the supervision of faculty but is to be the candidate's independent, original work, properly citing ideas, results, and/or quotes from other sources. Like all published studies, it can answer only certain questions but can demonstrate the candidate's scholarship, research interpretive acumen, and writing skills.

The Directed Study should serve as a mechanism for the graduate candidate to develop an area of expertise under the mentorship of a faculty advisor. This project, and the resulting document, should be conceptualized as having a significant impact on clinical knowledge and practice and should be disseminated at state/national conferences and/or submitted for publication.

The Directed Study Committee

The Directed Study committee will consist of two members. Directed Study committees must be chaired by an eligible, full-time College of Psychology faculty member in the school psychology program. Each member is reviewed by the Director of the School Psychology Program, based on information provided in his or her updated curriculum vitae and periodic surveys conducted on faculty research activities. Eligible faculty members are those who possess expertise in the Directed Study's content and have a record of scholarly research. Each member must possess an earned doctorate from a regionally accredited institution and be an active scholar with demonstrated capabilities for research/scholarship and for directing independent research.

A member from outside the full-time faculty may be a member of the Directed Study committee, pending endorsement by the *Committee Chair* and approval by the Director of the School Psychology Program. When a candidate requests a committee member who is not a full-time faculty member at the College of Psychology, that candidate must submit the proposed member's curriculum vitae outlining qualifications and recent publications and/or research pertinent to the proposed Directed Study. The Director of the School Psychology Program reviews these credentials for approval to serve as a member of the Directed Study committee. Eligibility for participation on candidate Directed Study committees includes:

- Research and/or clinical practice related to the proposed project
- Publication(s) within the last five years
- Previous experience directing research activities
- Previous experience serving on Directed Study/Student Research committees

While candidates may choose the chair of his or her Directed Study committee, the second member must be approved by the Director of the Program with input by the core faculty members. Any changes to the members of the Directed Study committee while the Directed Study is in progress must be approved by the Program Director. **There are no exceptions to these policies.** The candidates should discuss first with the chair and then with committee members procedures to be followed.

By February of the first year of study, candidates should select a faculty mentor for their project. Prior to selecting a faculty mentor, candidates should consider how well they would work in collaboration with the faculty member as well as the level of expertise he or she has in their area of interest. This information can be obtained by meeting individually with the school psychology faculty members, by reading about their areas of interest (which are provided in this document), and by talking with other candidates who have worked under the guidance of prospective mentors.

After gathering this information, the candidate is advised to meet with the faculty member(s) he or she is considering to work with as a chair. The candidate should ask questions that will help him or her decide whether the faculty member would be a good chair for the project, particularly with regard to expectations and roles. Establishing clear, direct communication with a chair is very important. Candidates should not necessarily expect a faculty member to agree to chair their committee. Approval rests with the Program Director.

The duties of the chair are to provide guidance, to check on progress, and to supervise the preparation of the document. Selecting a chair is one of the most important decisions made in the early stages of planning the Directed Study. A candidate will work closely with his or her chair in selecting the area of specialization and developing a Directed Study research idea and methodology. The chair provides expertise in the candidate's area of research, specific feedback on work, and support. The chair similarly approves the proposal prior to submitting the document to the second reader. This frequently involves reading and critiquing multiple drafts of each section of the Directed Study before final submission.

It is strongly advised that the candidate, the Chair, and the second faculty member of the Directed Study Committee meet regularly to delineate the parameters of the project. It is important to note that both faculty members must provide final approval of the project by utilizing the appropriate rubrics. The candidate must earn scores of 80% or higher on each of the two (2) evaluations to obtain the minimum level of achievement (MLA) in order to demonstrate competency and to earn a passing grade for this capstone project.

Faculty Research Interests

The following is a list of the full-time faculty who may serve as a first or second reader of the Directed Study:

Peter M. Caproni, Ph.D., Adelphi University, assistant professor. Therapeutic/collaborative assessment; school-based consultation; psychological services within schools; emotional/behavioral issues with children and adolescents.

Ralph E. (Gene) Cash, Ph.D., NCSP, ABPP, New York University, professor. School psychology; psychoeducational assessment, diagnosis, and treatment; depression; anxiety disorders; suicide prevention; forensics, including child custody, wrongful death effects, and disabilities; stress management; and psychology and public policy.

Kristen Jones, Psy.D., Nova Southeastern University, assistant clinical professor. School psychology; psychoeducational assessment and intervention planning, implementation, and monitoring; preschool and school mental health; assessment of autism spectrum disorders; parent-mediated interventions; and developmental-behavioral pediatrics

Scott Poland, Ed.D., Ball State University, professor. Professional experience has included leading national crisis teams and primary interests are suicide intervention, crisis intervention, youth violence, self-injury, school safety and delivery of psychological and counseling services in schools.

Sarah Valley-Gray, Psy.D., ABPP, Nova University, professor. Neuropsychological, psychological, and psychoeducational assessment; pediatric neuropsychological disorders; psychological services within the schools; infancy and child development (interaction with caregiver); issues of professional development including training and supervision.

Angela Waguespack, Ph.D., Louisiana State University, associate professor. Psychological, psychoeducational and functional behavior assessment; school-based consultation; service delivery models within schools; multi-tiered systems of supports, including prevention for at-risk populations, as well as academic, behavioral, and social skills intervention for children and adolescents.

II. Directed Study Overview

General Guidelines and Requirements

A scholarly paper, which is the product of the Directed Study, may be in one of several formats including: a) a literature review with recommendations for practice; b) an empirical study with practice implications; c) a comprehensive case study; d) a treatment/intervention manual; or e) some other format approved by the faculty advisor (e.g., designing a novel intervention or systemic problem-solution with a literature basis). The Directed Study is an independent project conducted under the direction/supervision of a two-member faculty committee: the primary faculty advisor (chair of the committee); and a second faculty member who also serves as an advisor to the project (committee member). A prospectus form signed by the candidate and relevant faculty members must be filed with the Program Office for School Psychology by September 1st of the candidate's second year of academic study or the candidate's registration for Directed Study may be retracted. It is strongly recommended that the candidate consult closely with the faculty committee (particularly the chair) to develop and to adhere to a structured timeline for completion of this project.

The Directed Study document must be prepared in accordance with the most recently published APA style manual. A recommended time line is presented on the prospectus form as well as within this document below. The final Directed Study document is due in the program office no later than October 1st of the third year of study. The candidate must submit one hard copy of the document to each committee member. In the event that the two committee members do not agree on the final (pass/fail) grade, a third reader will be assigned by the Director of the School Psychology Program to assist in grade determination.

In order to complete the project by the due date, candidates are encouraged to begin discussing their areas of interest and potential topics with their faculty advisor the Fall Semester of the first year of study. To assist candidates in structuring and developing their projects and to assist faculty in the evaluation process, several resource documents have been identified by project type.

Types of Directed Studies

If the empirical study option is selected, a research report of publication quality is expected for the written product (see Bem, 1987 for guidelines on writing an empirical article). If the literature review option is chosen, it is expected that the review be comprehensive and include primary references and recommendations for practice (see Bem, 1995 and University of Washington, 2010 for guidelines on writing a review article). A comprehensive literature review is contrasted with reviews that only include a limited cross-section of the literature (e.g., highlighting 2-3 exemplary studies) or that focus exclusively on secondary texts. For the case study option, the project is expected to be prepared as a publication-quality report and/or include a comprehensive literature review to support the conceptual components of the case. The structure recommended to authors at the *Clinical Case Study* journal's website may be used as a framework from which to conceptualize some important elements of a formal written case study. Candidates can also consult the Reitman and Paserri (2007) article as an example of a published case study. Candidates should also ask faculty committee members to identify other relevant

resources by content area (e.g., a meta-analysis or systematic review published in the area of school psychology).

Resource Materials

Bem, D. J. (1987). Writing the empirical journal article. In M. P. Zanna & J. M. Darley (Eds.), *The complete academic: A practical guide for the beginning social scientist* (pp. 171-201). New York: Random House.

Bem, D. (1995). Writing a review article for psychological bulletin. *Psychological Bulletin*, *118*(2), 172-177. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.118.2.172

Patterson, G. R., DeBaryshe, B. D., & Ramey, E. (1989). A developmental perspective on antisocial behavior. *American Psychologist*, *44*(2), 329-335. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.44.2.329

Richters, J., & Pellegrini, D. (1989). Depressed mothers' judgments about their children: An examination of the depression-distortion hypothesis. *Child Development*, *60*(5), 1068-1075. doi:10.2307/1130780

Craske, M. G. & Barlow, D.H. (2007). *Mastery of your anxiety and panic: Therapist guide* (4th ed.), New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Milrod, B.L., Busch, F.N., Cooper, A.M., & Shapiro, T. (1997). *Manual of Panic-Focused Psychodynamic Psychotherapy*. Washington: American Psychiatric Press.

Reitman, D., & Passeri, C. (2007). Use of stimulus fading and functional assessment to treat pill refusal with an 8-year-old boy diagnosed with ADHD. *Clinical Case Studies*, *7*(3), 224-237. doi:10.1177/1534650107307476

University of Washington. (2010). Writing a psychology literature review. Retrieved from <http://web.psych.washington.edu/writingcenter/writingguides/pdf/litrev.pdf>

III. Research Assistantship

To gain experience in research, Psy.D. candidates may choose to serve as an assistant to their advisors (or other mentors) in his or her program of research or other scholarly activities. During the first year of the apprenticeship, the candidate may spend his or her time engaging in a variety of activities that serve as the foundation for effective research (e.g., conducting reviews of literature, assisting in collecting data, and entering data into the computer). As their skills progress, however, candidates will begin to take more responsibility for their own scholarship and will become involved in planning, conducting, analyzing, presenting, and writing about research findings. This process will allow the candidates to progress from being assistants to becoming comfortable designing and conducting their own research as well as presenting it at conferences and in journals. Working closely with faculty members in this apprenticeship will make the candidates much better prepared to conduct their directed studies than the average school psychology candidate.

Area of Special Interest

Candidates are encouraged to develop an area of special interest and emerging expertise. This would be in an area of extensive study in which the candidate has a particular interest. A combination of practica, research, and elective coursework will support the development of a specialty area. The ideas for areas of specialization should be discussed with the research mentor and with other faculty as appropriate.

Candidates should begin exploring areas of special interest during their first semester in the program. While the Directed Study alone will not solidify a specialty area, it should support specialty development. Candidates will work with their mentors/chairs in developing their specialty areas.

For information regarding current Direct Study projects and faculty advisors, please refer to the list of the following page.

Seminars

Doctoral candidates are expected to attend seminars on topics of scholarly interest. Topics for seminars may include faculty or candidate research or grant activities, presentations by visiting faculty, presentations of possible Directed Study topics, discussions of research that are of interest to faculty and students, or other topics. First year candidates will be expected to attend the seminars and to participate in the discussions. Your participation will help you become an intelligent consumer of research by making you feel comfortable evaluating research and integrating new studies into your previous knowledge of an area. Please note that such discussions are collegial in nature; only gentle, constructive feedback is encouraged. Second and third year candidates will not only attend and participate but will also serve as presenters at some of the seminars.

PSY.D. IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY – DIRECTED STUDY

Cohort	Research Project Title	Faculty Advisor
FALL 2011	<i>Bullying and Suicide: What is the Relationship?</i>	Poland
	<i>Selection Criteria for Doctoral Level School Psychology Internships</i>	Caproni
	<i>Psychosexual Evaluation and Risk Assessment of Juvenile Sexual Offenders</i>	Cash
	<i>Best Practices and Techniques in Art Therapy</i>	Caproni
	<i>Emergent Literacy Using a Response to Intervention Model in Early Childhood</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Best Practices for Responding to Death in the School Community</i>	Poland
	<i>The Impact of School Reentry on Post-Traumatic Growth of Adolescents with a Traumatic Brain Injury</i>	Valley-Gray
	<i>The Training and Perceived Professional Competence of Florida School Psychologists in Working with Students who have Sustained a Traumatic Brain Injury: An Exploratory Study</i>	Valley-Gray
	<i>Assessing the Blind/Visually Impaired Child</i>	Waguespack
	<i>From Adolescent Self-Injury to Self-Compassion</i>	Caproni
FALL 2012	<i>The Role of Treatment Integrity in Preventing Summer Learning Loss</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Grieving Children and the Role of the School Psychologist</i>	Poland
	<i>Social Skills Interventions with Children Who Have Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder</i>	Valley-Gray
	<i>Would the Social Adjustment of Haitian Immigrant Children Separated From Parents Improve with Social Skills Training?</i>	Waguespack
	<i>School Response to and Treatment of Non-Suicidal Self-Injury</i>	Poland
	<i>Mindfulness in Schools: Effects on Student Outcomes</i>	Cash
	<i>Factors Contributing to Parent Engagement Resistance within Haitian and Hispanic Cultures</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Dispositional and Environmental Contributions to Behavioral Difficulties and Interventions Designed to Promote Resilience</i>	Caproni
	<i>Pediatric Autoimmune Neuropsychiatric Disorders Associated with Streptococcus (PANDAS)</i>	Valley-Gray

Cohort	Research Project Title	Faculty Advisor
FALL 2013	<i>Reunification After Rehabilitation: Effective Parenting Interventions for Drug Addicted Parents After Rehab</i>	Caproni
	<i>The Kindergarten Transition: Strategies Utilized and Perceptions Regarding the Transition to Formal Schooling</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Literacy Assessment Measures Utilized in Out-of-School Time Programs: A Review of the Literature</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Understanding Cultural Factors and How They Influence Resiliency in Hispanic Children and Adolescents Who are Exposed to Traumatic Events</i>	Poland
FALL 2014	<i>The Role of the Home Literacy Environment in Relation to Parent Engagement in Literacy Activities</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Implementing Individual and Group Educational Sessions for Parents of Children on the Autism Spectrum: Effects of Parental Self-Efficacy and Implementation of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS)</i>	Jones
	<i>An Evaluation of the Efficacy of School-Based Suicide Prevention Programs</i>	Cash
	<i>Self-Regulation and Difficult Behaviors in Young Children Developing in Urban Summer Camp Settings</i>	Waguespack
	<i>Incorporating Positive Psychology and Mindfulness into Academic Settings</i>	Caproni
	<i>Analysis of the Relationship Between Locus of Control and IQ, Achievement, and Other Psychoeducational Factors</i>	Caproni
FALL 2015	<i>Generalized Anxiety Disorder in Adolescent Females and its Effect on Academic Achievement and Social Well-Being</i>	Cash
	<i>Animal-Assisted Interventions for Children with Autism</i>	Cash
	<i>Bridging the Gap Between High School and Postsecondary Life</i>	Caproni
	<i>Congruence Between Child and Parent Self-Report of the Home Literacy Environment</i>	
	<i>School Connectedness: The Impact on Overall Well-being and Academics</i>	
	<i>The Role of the Imposter Syndrome in the Professional Identity of the Beginning Clinician</i>	Valley-Gray

IV. Timeline

FIRST YEAR OF STUDY	
Fall Semester	PSY 8190 Practicum in School Psychology: Foundations I <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Candidates complete CITI certification
	December 1: Meet with one of more faculty members to discuss area(s) of mutual interest
Winter Semester	PSY 8140 Statistical Foundations for Educational Research PSY 8195 Practicum in School Psychology: Foundations II <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Discuss directed studies of senior-level candidates ▪ Faculty present their research interests and areas of expertise
	February 28: Select a faculty member to be on the Directed Study committee and present the <i>Prospectus for the Directed Study</i> form to the Director of the School Psychology Program who will make final determination regarding composition and role of the committee members..
	March 1– August 1: Meet on a monthly basis (minimally) to discuss progress on the project and choose second reader (committee member).
SECOND YEAR OF STUDY	
Fall Semester	PSY 8145 Issues & Techniques in Research Design and Program Evaluation
	September 1: Finalize topic
	October 1: Create a detailed outline summarizing the literature on your topic. Formal meeting with chair and second reader.
	December 1: Research and analyze a mutually agreed upon number of articles
Winter Semester	January 1– May 31: Meet regularly with chair and second reader based upon a mutual agreement and degree of progress
THIRD OR FOURTH YEAR OF STUDY (Prior to applying for Doctoral Internship)	
Fall Semester	September 1: Submit the final document to second reader
	October 1: Submit the final document to the School Psychology Program Office

V. Conducting the Directed Study Research

Getting Started

The total Directed Study process takes approximately two years to complete from the initial exploration of an idea to the successful completion of the project. The following are guidelines that are intended to assist candidates in the planning and completion of their Directed Study:

1. The first step is to begin talking to professors and upper-level candidates regarding possible Directed Study topics. Investigate the research interests of each professor as described above to assist in the generation of ideas. Papers and presentations for courses, as well as practicum experiences, can provide opportunities to explore different areas of interest. In many cases, the Directed Study is an opportunity to develop an area of expertise, which can provide direction for internship or applied experiences.
2. Choosing a chair: Before committing to a Directed Study topic, candidates should select a faculty member to serve on the Directed Study committee. (See *The Directed Study Committee* on page 1 for guidelines)
3. After the Directed Study committee is formed, the candidate will schedule regular meetings with his or her chair and second reader.

Writing the Directed Study

All candidates must complete CITI training (See <http://www.nova.edu/irb/training.html> for guidelines regarding how to complete CITI training). If conducting an empirical study, this must be done prior to submitting the proposal study to the Institutional Research Board for approval. See <http://www.nova.edu/irb/manual/forms.html> for IRB guidelines. The candidate should allow sufficient time for IRB approval before beginning the research.

Following a successful IRB approval, if applicable, the candidate may begin the research. The candidate must write in the style specified by the American Psychological Association (APA) as described in the most current edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. The candidate should adhere to the following guidelines when typing the Directed Study:

Sections of the Directed Study

1. *Title Page*

The title of the Directed Study should describe the study and contain the appropriate key words. It is recommended that the length of the title should not exceed 12 words.

2. *Approval Page*

3. *Acknowledgements*

This section should note anyone who has contributed to the formulation and conduct of the Directed Study, including faculty members and other students. This section is not required.

4. *Table of Contents*

This section should be used to list, in order, the titles of the sections within the document.

5. *Abstract*

Abstracts should summarize the problem, method, results, and conclusions. The length of an abstract is between 150 to 250 words.

6. *Directed Study Text*

7. *List of Tables*

If tables are used, these are to be placed at the appropriate point in the text within the body of the Directed Study (not at the end). Number all tables with Arabic numerals sequentially. Each table must have a clear and concise title. When appropriate, you may use the title to explain an abbreviation parenthetically. Keep headings clear and brief. Short tables may appear on a page with some text. Each long table and each figure are to be placed on a separate page immediately following the first reference to them. Large tables should be typed on larger paper and reduced to the proper size by photocopying. If you are interested in publishing your paper, please refer to the journal's guidelines regarding placement and formatting of tables.

8. *List of Figures*

If figures are used, these should be placed at the appropriate point in the text within the body of the Directed Study (not at the end). Possible figures include graphs, scatter plots, charts, drawings, and photographs. An appropriate title and caption should be provided for each figure. Figure captions are typed below the figure, or in some cases, on the preceding or facing page (it is preferable to have captions on the same page). Captions serve as a brief, but complete, explanation and serves as the title. If you are interested in publishing your paper, please refer to the journal's guidelines about placement and formatting of figures.

9. *References*

All lines after the first line of each entry in your reference list should be indented one-half inch from the left margin. Reference list entries should be alphabetized by the last name of the first author for each citation. For multiple articles by the same author, or authors listed in the same order, list the entries in chronological order, from earliest to most recent.

10. Appendices

Although space generally limits the use of appendices in journal articles, the need for complete documentation often dictates their inclusion in a Directed Study. The following materials are appropriate for appendices: verbatim instructions to subjects, consent forms, and instruments, scales, or questionnaires developed for the study (not previously published ones). Other materials may be included as necessary to explain the study and to permit independent replications. If possible, merge word-processed files for these documents into the Directed Study; if original materials are used, page numbers must be typed onto them.

General Format of the Directed Study

Margins:

The left-hand margin must be one and one-half inches; the top, side, and bottom margins must be a minimum of one inch. The top margin on the first page of a new chapter may be larger than other margins. It is essential that the margin requirements be carefully observed, because some of each margin is trimmed in the binding process.

Fonts:

Do not use script or other unusual font types; these do not reproduce properly. Use Times New Roman, 12-point font. Use italics instead of underlining for book titles, etc. Use bolding for all headings. No corrections are permitted on the printed pages. Any pages requiring corrections must be retyped and reprinted. The final appearance must be clean and professional.

Spacing and Justification:

According to the *APA Publication Manual* guidelines, double-spacing is required throughout the final document. Use one space after: commas, colons, semicolons, periods that separate parts of a reference list citation, and periods that separate initials of a personal name (e.g., S. S. Freud). Use two spaces after periods, or other punctuation, at the end of a sentence. Single-spacing can be used for table titles and headings, figure captions, references (double-spacing is required between references), footnotes, and long quotations.

Title:

The title should summarize the paper's main idea. It should be centered, positioned in the upper half of the page, and typed in 12-point Times New Roman font. It should not be typed in bold font, underlined, or italicized. The length of the title should not exceed 12 words.

Running Head:

The running head is a Shortened version of the paper's full title, and it is used to help readers identify the titles for published articles (even if your paper is not intended for publication, your paper should include a running head). The running head cannot exceed 50 characters, including spaces and punctuation. The label "Running head:" that precedes the running head on the title page is not included in the 50-character count, since it is not part of the title of the paper. The running head's title should be in capital letters. The running head should be flush left, while page numbers should be flush right. On the title page, the running head should include the words "Running head" followed by a colon. On the subsequent pages, the running head should be repeated in all caps without the label "RUNNING HEAD:" preceding the title.

Pagination:

Two sets of page numbers are to be used in the Directed Study. The preliminary pages (from the title page up to the body of the study) should be numbered with lower case Roman numerals (as is common in books). The second set of numbers begins with the first page of Chapter I and continues throughout the study, references, and appendices. These numbers are Arabic. Every page of the document must be assigned a number, even though that number may be suppressed on some pages. In the set of Roman numerals, the title page is assigned a number, but the numeral does not appear. Thereafter, each preliminary page must show Roman numerals centered at the bottom of the page. In the set of Arabic numerals, no number appears on the Abstract, but each subsequent numeral is printed on all following pages in the upper right corner.

Headings:

There are five levels of headings. **All headings should be in bold font. A sample is provided below:**

Level of Heading	Format (Demonstration Provided in Table)
1	Centered, Bold, Title Case (Upper and Lowercase)
2	Level 2 Headings, Flush Left, Bold, Title Case
3	Level 3 Headings, indented, bold, sentence case (the first word is capitalized), ending in a period.
4	<i>Level 4 Headings, indented, bold, italicized, sentence case, ending in a period.</i>
5	<i>Level 5 Headings, indented, italicized, sentence case, ending in a period.</i>

Quotations:

If you are directly quoting from a source, you must include the author, year of publication, and the page number for the reference (preceded by "p."). Place direct quotes that are less than 40 words in quotation marks. Place direct quotations that are 40 words, or longer, in a free-standing block of typewritten lines, and omit quotation marks. Start the quotation on a new line, indented 1/2 inch from the left margin (i.e., in the same place you would begin a new paragraph). Type the entire quotation on the new margin, and indent the first line of any subsequent paragraph within the quotation 1/2 inch from the new margin. Maintain double-spacing throughout. A parenthetical citation should occur after the closing punctuation mark. An example is provided below.

Jones and colleagues (1998) found the following:

Students often had difficulty using APA style, particularly when it was their first time citing sources. This difficulty could be attributed to the fact that many students failed to purchase a style manual or to ask their teacher for help (p. 199).

Footnotes:

Footnotes to the text are typed at the bottom of the page on which they are referenced; footnotes should be used sparingly, if at all (see *APA Publication Manual*).

APA Style Resources

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Mastering APA style: Student's workbook and training guide* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

For a quick reference guide on APA style and formatting, visit the online tutorials found on Alvin Sherman's website: <http://sherman.library.nova.edu/sites/apa/> and the Fischler School of Education Applied Research Center's website: <http://www.fischlerschool.nova.edu/applied-research/writing-and-preparing-the-dissertation>.

*The following reference can be used as an additional guide to APA style:

Angeli, E., Wagner, J., Lawrick, E., Moore, K., Anderson, M., Soderlund, L., & Brizee, A. (2010, May 5). *General format*. Retrieved from <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/>

Sample Directed Study

Jane Psychologist

Nova Southeastern University

Sample Abstract

The abstract is a brief summary of the paper, allowing readers to quickly review the main points and purpose of the paper. Abstracts should adequately summarize the problem, methods, results, and conclusions. Abbreviations and acronyms used in the paper should be defined in the abstract. Do not indent the first line of the abstract paragraph. All other paragraphs in the paper should be indented. The length for an abstract is between 150 to 250 words.

Sample Directed Study

The following sample paper demonstrates how to properly head each section of a Directed Study. Note that there are five levels of headings. This section would be considered the introduction.

Statement of the Problem (Level 1)

The level one heading of the Directed Study should be centered, bold, and title case (upper and lowercase letters).

Attitude Change (Level 2)

The level two heading of the Directed Study should be flush left and title case.

Theory and laboratory research (Level 3). A level three heading should be indented, bold, sentence case (the first word is capitalized), and ending in a period.

Early work (Level 4). A level four heading should be indented, bold, italicized, sentence case, and ending in a period.

Current research (Level 5). A level five heading should be indented, italicized, sentence case, and ending in a period. The following page provides examples properly cited references.

Sample References

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Mastering APA style: Student's workbook and training guide* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Angeli, E., Wagner, J., Lawrick, E., Moore, K., Anderson, M., Soderlund, L., & Brizee, A. (2010, May 5). *General format*. Retrieved from <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/>

VI. Completion of the Directed Study

It is advisable that the candidate maintains frequent contact with the committee chairperson and with committee members throughout the completion of the Directed Study. This will allow for ongoing feedback. The completed document must be submitted to the second reader by August 1 of the second year of study. The final document will be due on September 1 at the beginning of the third year of study. The Directed Study will receive a pass/fail grade based on the following rubric criteria:

DIRECTED STUDY (LITERATURE REVIEW) RUBRIC (28 points)				
Rating (1-4)				
Note: To obtain a total percentage, divide total score by 28 and multiple by 100. Minimum level of achievement (MLA) is 80%.				
	4	3	2	1
Literature base	The literature review is exhaustive and includes no fewer than 35 articles given the context of the review.	The literature review is sound but not exhaustive (contains fewer than 35 articles).	The literature review is lacking in significant ways.	The literature review is unacceptable.
Written structure-organization	Document is structured in a skillful manner to facilitate accessibility. The heading/subheading structure provides a sound roadmap.	Document structure is sound but does not feature optimal use of headings, etc.	Structure/organization is less than optimal.	Document is difficult to follow.
Methodology	Parameters for review (e.g., types of works included, year range, etc.) are clearly articulated and contextually grounded.	Parameters for review are not articulated sufficiently.	Parameters for review are not clearly articulated.	Parameters for review are not explicitly discussed.
Conceptual grounding	The review is tightly organized according to a relevant conceptual framework (e.g., theoretical models, research paradigms, etc.).	The review is more loosely organized according to a relevant conceptual framework (e.g., theoretical models, research paradigms, etc.).	A relevant conceptual framework is not adequately described and/or justified.	There is no explicit conceptual grounding.
Integrative	Studies reviewed are critically appraised and integrated along multiple dimensions (e.g., participant populations, methodological shortcomings, etc.).	Studies reviewed are critically appraised in an appropriate manner – but true integration across studies is lacking.	Elements of critical appraisal are lacking.	Critical appraisal is absent altogether or lacking in multiple significant ways.
Synthesis	The review culminates in a series of conclusions and future directions that advance the field of inquiry.	Studies reviewed are critically appraised in an appropriate manner – but true integration across studies is lacking.	Elements of synthesis are lacking in significant ways.	Critical appraisal or synthesis absent altogether or lacking in multiple significant ways.
APA Format	All needed citations are included in the report. End-of-text references match the in-text citations, and all were encoded in APA format. Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	All needed citations are included in the report. Some references matched the citations and were encoded in APA format. Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	Citations within the body of the report and a corresponding reference list were presented. Some formatting problems such as font, spacing, and APA format exist.	Citations for statements included in the report were not present, or references which were included were not found in the text. Significant formatting problems such as font, spacing, and APA format exist.
Total				

DIRECTED STUDY (EMPIRICAL) RUBRIC (40 points)

Rating (1-4)

Note: To obtain a total percentage, divide total score by 40 and multiple by 100. Minimum level of achievement (MLA) is 80%.

	4	3	2	1
Literature review	The information presented was gathered using multiple, recent, research-based sources.	The information presented was gathered using multiple recent sources.	The information presented was gathered using a limited, number of sources.	The information presented was gathered using non-peer reviewed articles from three or less sources.
Written structure-organization	Document is structured in a skillful manner to facilitate accessibility. The heading/ subheading structure provides a sound roadmap.	Document structure is sound but does not feature optimal use of headings, etc.	Structure/organization is less than optimal.	Document is difficult to follow.
Use of Principal /Primary Readings	Accurately and appropriately used multiple principal readings in the topic area.	Used at least two principal readings in the topic area, appropriately.	Used two or fewer principal readings or inappropriately used principal readings in topic area.	Did not use or used inappropriately principal readings.
Flow	Goes from general ideas to specific conclusions. Transitions tie sections together, and flow smoothly for one section to the next.	Presents overall general ideas but fails to reach specific conclusions. Flows smoothly from one section to the next.	There is a basic flow from one section to the next, but not all sections or paragraphs follow in a natural or logical order.	The review appears to have no direction, with disjointed subtopics and an excessive number of direct quotations presented as support.
Synthesis	The review concludes with a strong summary or closing statement and clearly states what needs to be further explored. The candidate was able to make succinct and precise conclusions to the research problem based on the articles reviewed. Broad research questions and hypotheses should be included if appropriate.	The review provides concluding remarks that show that a critical analysis and synthesis of ideas took place. While some of the conclusions were not supported in the body of the report, the research questions and hypotheses were stated.	The review provides weak remarks that mostly repeat the conclusions reached by the studies reviewed. The candidate presents conclusions not supported in the body of the report. Research questions and hypotheses could be stated in connection to the research problem but are not appropriately supported by the reviewed literature.	There is no attempt to synthesize the information or to make a conclusion based on the literature under review. No research questions or hypotheses were provided.
Research Questions	Formation of question or questions are based on theory and previous research and stated in the form of a question or questions; questions are focused, clear, specific, and feasible.	Questions are based on theory and previous research; the questions are focused but not clear and not feasible.	Questions are based on prior research but are not clear.	Questions are not based on prior research and are not feasible.
Methodology	The scope of the project is commensurate with a research consumer focus. The design is methodologically broad and data analysis sophisticated and appropriate. Conclusions are sound.	The design is basically sound and data analysis appropriate. Conclusions are sound.	The design has basic weaknesses and / or the data analysis is weak and / or internal or external validity is weak. Conclusions may be weak.	The design is weak and / or the statistical analysis is inappropriate or inaccurate. Conclusions are not sound.
Conceptual grounding	The review is tightly organized according to a relevant conceptual framework (e.g., theoretical models, research paradigms, etc.).	The review is more loosely organized according to a relevant conceptual framework (e.g., theoretical models, research paradigms, etc.).	A relevant conceptual framework is not adequately described and/or justified.	There is no explicit conceptual grounding.

	4	3	2	1
Integrative	Studies reviewed are critically appraised and integrated along multiple dimensions (e.g., participant populations, methodological shortcomings, etc.).	Studies reviewed are critically appraised in an appropriate manner – but true integration across studies is lacking.	Elements of critical appraisal are lacking.	Critical appraisal is absent altogether or lacking in multiple significant ways.
APA Format	All needed citations were included in the report. End-of-text references match the in-text citations, and all were encoded in APA format. Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	All needed citations were included in the report. Some references matched the citations and were encoded in APA format. Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	Citations within the body of the report and a corresponding references list were presented. Some formatting problems such as font, spacing, and APA format exist.	Citations for statements included in the report were not present, or references which were included were not found in the text. Significant formatting problems such as font, spacing, and APA format exist.
Total				

DIRECTED STUDY (CASE STUDY) RUBRIC (40 points)

Rating (1-4)

Note: To obtain a total percentage, divide total score by 40 and multiple by 100. Minimum level of achievement (MLA) is 80%.

	4	3	2	1
Case Study Format	The rationale for the case example(s), assessments, and outcome measures, is clearly presented. The paper uses methods for assessing treatment outcomes that are appropriate for the case and conceptual framework.	A rationale for the case example(s), assessments, and outcome measures, is presented but is not a clear as would be optimal. The paper uses methods for assessing treatment outcomes, but other measures may be more appropriate for the case.	The rationale for the case example(s), assessments, and outcome measures is not appropriate or of limited value. The paper uses methods for assessing treatment outcomes that are not appropriate, or this aspect is lacking in methodological rigor.	A rationale for the case example(s), assessments, and outcome measures is not evident. The paper does not attempt to present any assessment of treatment outcomes that are appropriate for the case.
Written structure-organization	Document is structured in a skillful manner to facilitate accessibility. The heading/ subheading structure provides a sound roadmap.	Document structure is sound but does not feature optimal use of headings, etc.	Structure/organization is less than optimal.	Document is difficult to follow.
Literature review	The information presented was gathered using multiple, recent, research-based sources.	The information presented was gathered using multiple recent sources.	The information presented was gathered using a limited, number of sources.	The information presented was gathered using non-peer reviewed articles from three or less sources.
Use of Principal /Primary Readings	Accurately and appropriately used multiple principal readings in the topic area.	Used at least two principal readings in the topic area, appropriately.	Used 2 or fewer principal readings or inappropriately used principal readings in topic area.	Did not use or used inappropriately principal readings.
Synthesis	The case study concludes with a strong summary or closing statement and clearly states what needs to be further explored. The candidate was able to make succinct and precise conclusions based on the study and articles reviewed. Research questions and hypotheses should be included if appropriate.	The case study provides concluding remarks that show that a critical analysis and synthesis of ideas took place. While some of the conclusions were not supported in the body of the report, the research questions and hypotheses were stated.	The case study provides weak remarks that mostly repeat the conclusions reached by the studies reviewed. The candidate presents conclusions not supported in the body of the report. Research questions and hypotheses could be stated in connection to the research problem but are not appropriately supported by the reviewed literature.	There is no attempt to synthesize the information or to make a conclusion based on the case study and literature under review. No research questions, hypotheses, or underlying thesis were evident.
Research Questions	Formation of question or questions are based on theory and previous research and stated in the form of a question or questions; questions are focused, clear, specific, and feasible.	Questions are based on theory and previous research; the questions are focused but not clear and not feasible.	Questions are based on prior research but are not clear.	Questions are not based on prior research and are not feasible.
Conceptual grounding	The review is tightly organized according to a relevant conceptual framework (e.g., theoretical models, research paradigms, etc.).	The review is more loosely organized according to a relevant conceptual framework (e.g., theoretical models, research paradigms, etc.).	A relevant conceptual framework is not adequately described and/or justified.	There is no explicit conceptual grounding.
Integrative	Studies reviewed are critically appraised and integrated along multiple dimensions (e.g., participant populations, methodological shortcomings, etc.).	Studies reviewed are critically appraised in an appropriate manner – but true integration across studies is lacking.	Elements of critical appraisal are lacking.	Critical appraisal is absent altogether or lacking in multiple significant ways.

	4	3	2	1
Methodology	The scope of the project is commensurate with a research consumer focus. The design is methodologically broad and data analysis sophisticated and appropriate. Conclusions are sound.	The design is basically sound and data analysis appropriate. Conclusions are sound.	The design has basic weaknesses and / or the data analysis is weak and / or internal or external validity is weak. Conclusions may be weak.	The design is weak and / or the statistical analysis is inappropriate or inaccurate. Conclusions are not sound.
APA Format	All needed citations were included in the report. End-of-text references match the in-text citations, and all were encoded in APA format. Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	All needed citations were included in the report. Some references matched the citations and were encoded in APA format. Font, spacing, and APA format are correct.	Citations within the body of the report and a corresponding references list were presented. Some formatting problems such as font, spacing, and APA format exist.	Citations for statements included in the report were not present, or references which were included were not found in the text. Significant formatting problems such as font, spacing, and APA format exist.
Total				

VII. Directed Study Forms

The following pages contain forms to be used in the Directed Study:

DIRECTED STUDY PROSPECTUS

Candidate **MUST** present this completed form to the School Psychology Program Office by February 28.

NAME: _____ **NSU ID#:** _____

Title: _____

Description: _____

Please indicate project type (by placing a check next to relevant type):

_____ Literature review _____ Case study _____ Empirical study
_____ Other (please describe): _____

Note: If you will be the principal investigator of a study involving the participation of human subjects, you must secure IRB approval prior to beginning your study. Check below as needed. See <http://www.nova.edu/irb/training.html> for guidelines regarding how to complete CITI training and <http://www.nova.edu/irb/manual/forms.html> for IRB guidelines.

_____ I will be the principal investigator of a study involving human subjects and acknowledge that
_____ I am responsible for securing IRB approval by signing below.

SIGNATURE

DATE

ACKNOWLEDEMENT OF DIRECTED STUDY SPECIFICATIONS

NAME: _____ NSU ID#: _____

Below is a time-line that can be modified by the faculty chair, i.e., the faculty chair can require that drafts and/or the final document be submitted earlier.

Task	Due date	Faculty approved due date (if different)
Prospectus form completion	February 28 first year	
General outline submitted	October 1 second year	
Document submitted to second reader	September 1 third year	
Final document due	October 1 third or fourth year (prior to applying for doctoral internship)	

Please sign below indicating your acknowledgement of the specifications set forth in this prospectus.

Candidate (print)	Signature	Date
Committee chair (print)	Signature	Date
Committee member (print)	Signature	Date

RESEARCH COMPLETION FORM

An abstract of the Directed Study must be attached to this form. A complete copy of the Directed Study is also required.

Candidate Name: _____
(Please print)

Date of Final Approval: _____

has completed:

Psy.D. Directed Study _____

Research Chair (Please print)

Signature

Second reviewer (Please print)

Signature

Please print title of Directed Study below:

Return this form with attached abstract to the
School Psychology Program Office.

NOTES

NOTES